

## **COMMENTS AND RESPONSES**

**DRAFT SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT  
AND DECISION DOCUMENT FOR THE AUTOCRAFT SHOP/FORMER DPDO AND  
FORMER MOTOR POOL AREA 2100, NORTH OF DPDO  
PARCELS 100(7), 20(7), 47(7), 152(7), AND 241(7)  
FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA  
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS BY  
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS MOBILE DISTRICT**

**SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Comment 1:**      **Page 1-1, Line 10. The Army Environmental Center (AEC) originally contracted with QST, not USACE.**

**Response:**        The text will be revised as noted.

**Comment 2:**      **Table 3-8. In the “Impact to Site Investigation” column, you should not just make the statements that “collecting the sample at the new location satisfied the number of samples proposed...”. This should be changed to demonstrate the impact to the Site Investigation. Did the investigation suffer as a result or did the data collected from the new locations meet the objectives of the Site Investigation in terms of data quality, not number of samples?**

**Response:**        The “Impact to Site Investigation” column of the table will be revised to reflect how Data Quality Objectives requirements were fulfilled.

**DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT**

**Comment 1:**      **Change the title to reflect the agreements reached at the September 2000 BCT meeting. Per those agreements, the reference to No Further Action should be removed. This also applies to the block on Page 1.**

**Response:**        The title of the decision document will be changed to exclude the phrase “No Further Action” as agreed in the September 2000 BCT meeting.

**DRAFT SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT AND  
DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT  
FOR THE AUTOCRAFT SHOP/FORMER DPDO AND FORMER MOTOR POOL  
AREA 2100 NORTH OF DPDO  
PARCELS 100(7), 20(7), 47(7), 152(7), AND 241(7)  
FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA  
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS BY ADEM**

**SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

***General Comments***

**Comment 1:** ADEM is aware that the language will be changing for the “No Further Action” as to the specifics of the land use and the land use controls. This language change was discussed in the September BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) meeting.

**Response:** Comment noted.

**Comment 2:** Based on the analytical results from samples collected during the Site Investigation, it appears that operations at the site have had minimal impact on the environment, and the potential threat to human and ecological receptors from the site is low.

**Response:** Comment noted.

***Specific Comments***

**No Comments**

**DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT**

***General Comments***

**Comment 1:** ADEM is aware that the language will be changing for the “No Further Action” as to the specifics of the land use and the land use controls. This language change was discussed in the September BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) meeting.

**Response:** Comment noted.

**Comment 2:** Based on the analytical results from samples collected during the Site Investigation, it appears that operations at the site have had minimal impact on the environment, and the potential threat to human and ecological receptors from the site is low. ADEM agrees with the conclusion of the Draft Decision Document.

**Response:**       Comment noted.

***Specific Comments***

**No Comments**

**DRAFT SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT AND  
DRAFT DECISION DOCUMENT FOR  
AUTOCRAFT SHOP/FORMER DPDO  
PARCELS 100(7), 20(7), 47(7), 152(7), AND 241(7)  
FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA  
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS BY  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 4**

*As addressed in a letter from Bart Reedy, EPA, to Ron Levy, BRAC Environmental Coordinator, October 19, 2000.*

**Comment:** I have reviewed the Site Investigation Report and the Decision Document for Parcels 100, 20, 47, 152 and 241. I am surprised that the investigation found the Motor Pool and other areas as clean as they appears to be. I could offer only editorial comments that would add nothing to the report nor change the results.

**I concur with the Decision Document and approve the Report as written.**

**Response:** Comments noted.